

Program being evaluated: *ECE*

Date: *3/8/11* CERC Name: *Comprehensive Summary*

**COLLEGE EFFECTIVENESS REVIEW COMMITTEE (CERC)**  
**Evaluation Tool for Comprehensive Program Reviews**

**Purpose:** This tool is used to evaluate Comprehensive Program Reviews for the AY 2010-11. Each CERC member will be completing one of these for each of the program reviews.

**Part A. Program Effectiveness**

Criteria	1 - Does Not Meet	2 - Meets	3 - Exceeds	Score
<p><b>1. The narrative describes the program and how it supports the College's mission and Institutional Learning Outcomes (ILO).</b></p> <p><b>Recommendations/Comments:</b>  <i>Mission of college is mentioned but there is no mention of ILOs. Good description of program and career opportunities, weak connection to mission and ILOs. concise connection to Colege mission and Healthy Communities &amp; Workforce ILOs. There is reference to college mission, but not to ILO's. The report may have been written prior to ILO's being adopted?</i></p>	Not present or no connection to mission and ILO.	Adequate description and connection to mission and ILO.	Very thorough and strong connection to mission and ILO.	<b>2.389</b>
<p><b>2. Summary of changes was made and explained.</b></p> <p><b>Recommendations/Comments:</b>  <i>Excellent articulation efforts across UH system. Have expanded preschool to accommodate more toddlers. Summary was concise and presented data clearly. alignment w/UHMC, KauCC and HonCC is excellent w/2+2 articulation to UHWO. Seeking natl. accreditation as partners with other campuses is an advantage. Included B. Program Analysis based on Data table--rationale presented for all calls below healthy.</i></p>	Not present or weak explanation, and/or changes unsupported by evidence.	Adequate explanation and substantiated.	Very thorough and well substantiated.	<b>2.583</b>
<p><b>3. Program strengths and weaknesses.</b></p>	Not present or weak analysis.	Adequate analysis and perceptions.	Very thorough and substantial analysis and perception.	<b>2.389</b>

Criteria	1 - Does Not Meet	2 - Meets	3 - Exceeds	Score
<b>Recommendations/Comments:</b> <i>Program has some excellent strengths. Somewhat confusing with 2 "B." sections. realistic list of strengths. West HI outreach as a weakness seems realistic too. W2 is not a weakness. Would consider the low effectiveness indicator results as weaknesses. Is WH not having a Children's Center and faculty at least by the time the campus is opened a weakness or a statement?</i>				
<b>4. Progress on program goals since last comprehensive program review.</b> <b>Recommendations/Comments:</b> <i>All goals have been accomplished. All goals from last review were accomplished. Goals achieved but needed more description. all goals were met including assessment plans completed. Some progress, though not sure adequate. Since previous goals not known/stated, difficult to thoroughly assess.</i>	Not present or no progress.	Adequate progress.	Substantial progress.	2.639
<b>5. Program's top three goals are based on evidence.</b> <b>Recommendations/Comments:</b> <i>Do program goals address W2 (weakness 2)? Unsupported by evidence</i>	Not present or unsupported by evidence.	Adequate and supported by evidence.	Well substantiated by evidence.	2.361
<b>Part A. TOTAL</b>				<b>12.361</b>
<b>Part A. AVG (TOTAL divided by 5)</b>				<b>2.472</b>

**Part B. Action Plan for Program Improvement**

Summary Evaluation of Action Plan. (Reference: Table 1)

Reference Table 1	Does not meet = 1	Meets = 2	Score
Recommendations/Comments:	Incomplete table or unclear.	Complete table.	1.944

Shouldn't Action Plan item 2 also address weakness 2 (if it is a weakness).

**Part C. Action Plan Supports Budget Priority**

Cost Item (Table 2)	Priority Ranking			Part C Score	Part A Pg 2 Score	Part B Pg 2 Score	Total C+A+B
	1 = Low	2 = Medium	3 = High				
<p>Program Priority</p> <p>1. <i>1 FTE Outreach faculty</i></p>	Action plan does not support budget request nor does it support Strategic Outcomes Goals.	Action plan partially supports budget request and supports some Strategic Outcomes Goals.	Action Plan completely supports budget request and fits specific Strategic Outcomes Goals.	2.333	2.472	1.944	6.750
<p>Comments:</p> <p>Addresses WI</p> <p><i>There is a definite need for someone to oversee the ECE program in West Hawai'i. More students might be interested if there was adequate counsel and a presence for them.</i></p> <p><i>Did not explain how it meets goals and measures.</i></p> <p><i>Will help fulfill outreach to West HI missing in justification "and how?"</i></p>							
<p>2. Accreditation Cost includes initial application and team visit expenses</p>	Action plan does not support budget request nor does it support Strategic Outcomes Goals.	Action plan partially supports budget request and supports some Strategic Outcomes Goals.	Action Plan completely supports budget request and fits specific Strategic Outcomes Goals.	2.444	2.472	1.944	6.861
<p>Comments:</p> <p><i>Needed for Accreditation; does HawCC have some sort of accreditation fund? Institution should support.</i></p> <p><i>Did not explain how it meets goals and measures.</i></p> <p><i>How often is this cost incurred?</i></p> <p><i>missing in justification "and how"</i></p>							