

# **HAWAII COMMUNITY COLLEGE PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT**

## **Electronics Technology**

**March 2, 2015**

**July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014**

**Initiator: Joel Tanabe**

**Writer(s): Joel Tanabe**

*Program/Unit Review at Hawaii Community College is a shared governance responsibility related to strategic planning and quality assurance. It is an important planning tool for the college budget process. Achievement of Program/Unit Outcomes is embedded in this ongoing systematic assessment. Reviewed by a college-wide process, the Program/Unit Reviews are available to the college and community at large to enhance communication and public accountability.*

# **Program Review Outline**

**Cover Sheet**

**Outline Page**

**Program Description**

**3YR Review Report Summary**

**CERC Comments and Feedback**

**Part I: Quantitative/Qualitative Indicators**

**A. Annual Report of Program Data (ARPD) Data Grid**

**B. ARPD Data Analysis**

**C. Trends & Other Factors**

**Part II: Analysis of the Program**

**A. Alignment with Institutional Mission & Learning Outcomes (ILOs)**

**B. Program Mission**

**C. Strengths & Weaknesses**

**Part III: Course/Program Assessment**

**A. Course(s) Assessed**

**B. Expected Level of Achievement**

**C. Assessment Strateg(y/ies) & Instrument(s)**

**D. Results of Program Assessment**

**E. Next Steps**

**F. Evidence of Industry Validation for CTE Programs**

**Part IV: Action Plan**

**A. 20% Course Review**

**B. Previous Goals (Programs Actions) & Planning**

**C. New Goals (Action Strategies) and Alignment**

**Part V: Resource Implications**

**A. Cost Item 1**

**B. Cost Item 2**

**C. Cost Item 3**

**Part VI: Justification for Program Existence**

## Program Description

*(Official Description from Catalog - then provide more in depth explanation of what this program does, who it serves and generally describe its accomplishments)*

This program prepares students for employment in telecommunications, medical electronics, computers, and consumer electronics. The electronic technician fabricates, installs, maintains, and repairs electronic equipment.

The program courses cover basic DC and AC component theory and circuit analysis, digital systems, optics and computers and networking. Students applying to the electronics program should have two years of high school math including geometry or algebra, and two years of high school science including chemistry or physics.

Upon completion of the program students will be able to apply to entry-level electronic technician positions as well as entry-level Information Technology positions.

**3yr Review Report Summary** – *If this Program is scheduled for Comprehensive Review, this section must be more robust and detailed explaining changes made to the program in the past 3 years; funding received since last 3 years and results from funding, etc.*

The ETRO Program had reviewed its curriculum in the 2012-2013 year when a new instructor was hired. With the help of ETRO Advisory Council, the curriculum took on a new direction and objective. In general, the electronics industry has moved away from repairing appliances, TVs, recorders, microwaves and other “disposal” items, and plotted a course towards micro hardware and components, electronic controls found in manufacturing and automobiles, laser technology, communication technology, computer based soft and hardware. The astronomy, energy and communication sectors are local industries that require such instruction. It was with regret that the instructor moved on to another job, currently leaving the program in limbo.

However, the program will try to persist by hiring a full time instructor and part time lecturer to carry on the existing curriculum. The look back for three years shows optimism for the program.

### **Past Three Years: Demand Indicators Health Call**

**2011-2012: Unhealthy, 2012-2013: Unhealthy, 2013-2014: Healthy**

**The number of majors has climbed 37%, validating that the interest in electronics is on the rise (past three years: 12, 13, 19). What is disturbing, but outside the control of the program is the County Prorated jobs: one for each passing year. Other optimistic signs include the sharp rise in SSH: +79%, 58%. FTE Enrolled +84%, 61%. Total number of classes taught, 18.**

### **Past Three Years: Efficiency Indicators Health Call**

**2011-2012: Unhealthy, 2012-2013: Cautionary, 2013-2014: Cautionary**

**Average class size has risen every year. Fill Rate has risen 24%,9%. Majors to FTE BOR Faculty has gone from zero (0) to 19. The cautionary rating is due to Fill Rate, the program is only half filled. There has been much recruiting including an ETRO Open House activity to increase the awareness of the program. Unfortunately the first year cohort was cancelled due to the instructor leaving.**

### **Past Three Years: Effectiveness Indicators Health Call**

**2011-2012: Cautionary, 2012-2013: Cautionary, 2013-2014: Cautionary**

**Persistence from 2013-2014 Fall to Spring is 16.7% higher than the previous year and 25.2% over the first year of this period. The instructor was instrumental in the rise of the persistence rates apparently due to course work better aligned with pertinence and application to the current industry trends. The instructor also spent extra hours to help and mentor students. The Unduplicated**

Degrees/Certificates Awarded were naturally lower due to the one year stop out of the program previous to this academic year. The next graduating class (2015) will be seven (7).

## CERC Comments and Feedback --

*CERC Comments as listed in most recent Comprehensive Review.*

### Part II. Analysis of the Program

#### A. Program Effectiveness

*With the resignation of the only ETRO FTE instructor, recommendations will be considered by the new instructor.*

#### Table 1: Description and Alignment with Mission and ILOs

##### Recommendations/Comments:

o The program description included in the CERC Program Review is the description in the Hawai'i Community College catalog. This speaks to consistency. ET supports the institution's ILO of community as it "accepts all students from all segments of our Hawai'i Island community that meet the program's entry requirements..." The students learn to design solutions to problems and to methodically troubleshoot circuits and other electronic equipment. This aligns with the critical thinking element in ILO 2. These points were not clearly noted in the review, but implied. **Typically ETRO as in all trades uses various methods and tasks (lecture/lab) to teach everything from soft skills to complex calculations. ILO2 is met through all of these exercises especially when troubleshooting (critical thinking). This writer does not know enough of the discipline to list specific goals and objectives but will vouch that student success in ETRO requires meeting ILO2.**

o It is recommended that the writer state more explicitly the way the program aligns with the institution's ILOs and denote to which ILO it is aligned. **This writer is not qualified to comment here.**

#### Table 2: Previous Goals

##### Overall Recommendations/Comments for Previous Goals:

o No previous goals were listed to evaluate. These goals need to be developed in the annual review since goals from the previous program was not used. The last comprehensive review was submitted in November 2007 with no goals listed. It is difficult to determine the quality and short term/long term planning of the program without this component.

**Due to the loss of the FTE instructor, the immediate goal is to hire a new FTE instructor, meet with the Advisory Council and strategize the direction of the program.**

o Leadership in this area needs to take place. It is highly recommended that a list of program goals be generated and reported in one year. **See previous answer.**

#### Table 3: Program Strengths and Weaknesses

**Strength 1: The potential growth for the Electronics program looks very optimistic.**

##### S1 & Evaluation of Data Elements - Recommendations/Comments:

o The potential for growth is based on a narrowly-defined project.

o The UH Manoa element gives optimism for students transferring to that institution.

o The description of the strength seems comingled with the evaluation and there are no data elements for reference, i.e., employment market forecasts (except for TMT) for Hawai'i Island.

o In the future, include data elements in statement of Strengths and Weaknesses. A definition of strength would be number of career opportunities, Hawai'i County CEDS Five -Year Report, U.S. Government jobs forecast. These elements would provide support as to why students would want to be part of this discipline.

**This writer is not qualified to comment here.**

**Strength 2: The program currently has a full-time faculty instructor and a lot of support from administration.**

##### S2 & Evaluation of Data Elements - Recommendations/Comments:

o The strength is that the technology industry is growing and the need is great, leading to optimism in the job market. This strength also folded into several challenges that include data, evaluation, and documentation of the Electronic Program goals prior to the current instructor hire. Hiring the new instructor is a strength, but it seems there was not enough data elements supplied to the instructor. **Agreed, and will meet with the Advisory Council to discuss**

**specific elements that should be touched upon in the program.**

- o Recommend the creation of a short-term program strategic plan, which would help in planning the future of the program. **This would be done with the help of the Advisory Council.**
- o It may be helpful to seek extramural funds to “shore up” and “give support” to the program. A STEM grant from National Science Foundation’s Tribal Colleges and Universities Program would serve two purposes: 1) Creation of a strong Electronics Technology Program and 2) Creation of a STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics) initiative at Hawai’i Community College. **All potential funding sources will be researched. The program has already received funding from Perkins grants.**

**Strength 3: In this field, it seems as if every day there’s another unveiling or breakthrough being produced in this field of technology that supports the student learning.**

**S3 & Evaluation of Data Elements - Recommendations/Comments:**

- o The close partnership with the Advisory Committee and high tech astronomy community on Hawai’i Island. The support of curriculum review, job placement possibilities and equipment donation to the program demonstrates strength in this item. **Agreed and will continue as a high priority.**
- o The strength is not completely clear and there is no reference to any data elements. Strength must be accompanied by evaluation and data elements for support. This element must be addressed with proper leadership. **The justification for this strength is based on “loose data” from news reports, industry predictions and the everyday experiences that blatantly show how explosive the technological progress is in this field. Everything we touch everyday is based on the technology of this field.**

**Weakness 1: The facility’s existing square footage does not provide for an efficient working space for students, especially in the non-air-conditioned lab. During the lab, the jalousies and the bay door are opened fully for maximum ventilation to dilute solder fumes and other odors but this also allows for the inducement into the lab, exhaust fumes from vehicles passing by the shop. The electronics lab has components that breakdown due to high humidity and extreme temperatures.**

**W1 & Evaluation of Data Elements - Recommendations/Comments:**

- o This is a very serious matter that needs and requires immediate attention and resolution. The article of student safety is of ultimate concern. There are no data elements provided for review. The committee’s ultimate question is: “What are the current plans to remedy this situation?” Another consideration is: “What is the probable liability to the college?” **The program recognizes the physical restrictions however answers are outside the realm of the program itself. Adjustments and space utilization can be reviewed by the program but the health and safety issue is an administrative/system concern. The program relies on administration to recognize and consider how the problems will be resolved.**
- o Recommend that a plan to remedy this situation is considered. It is encouraged that this plan be reviewed by department leadership prior to Fall 2013, for the safety of faculty, students and staff. **See above.**

**Weakness 2: The electronics lab is not equipped with proper test equipment, fabrication tools, or laboratory benches.**

**W2 & Evaluation of Data Elements - Recommendations/Comments:**

- o There are no data elements for consideration, although the weakness is stated.
- o The lack of appropriate equipment is stated in the cost items request and needs to be addressed very soon (within six months) of this review. **New NIDA training equipment and laptops have been purchased using Perkins funding. Other grants will be sought to stay within certain sectors of the industry due to the vast diversity of employment opportunities.**
- o Recommend that a complete inventory of tools and equipment, along with an evaluation, be submitted to appropriate leadership. **This writer is not qualified to comment here.**

**Weakness 3: The program has lost a lot of momentum during the past few years.**

**W3 & Evaluation of Data Elements - Recommendations/Comments:**

- o The weakness is listed: loss of momentum and not enough people interested. **Ironically, at the start of the 2014-2015 academic year, there were twelve registered students (part and full time) which suggests that the former instructor did create an interest in the program and was beginning to see the results.**
- o A plan for demand, efficiency and effectiveness would be very helpful. The plan would afford for effective strategic planning for the program. **Strategic planning will have to be accomplished by the new instructor with input from ETRO’s Advisory Council.**

**Overall Recommendations/Comments for Strengths & Weaknesses:**

- o No evaluation was written for these weaknesses, causing a reduction in scoring. This section would have been more powerful to the reader if the strengths and weaknesses were summarized with titles or short statements and then elaborated on in the evaluation section.

- o Suggest devoting time to looking at data elements as these can become justification for the cost item requests.
  - o Gather data to evaluate pre- and post- changes since the new instructor was hired and document continual improvements in the Electronics Program through increased persistence and success of students in this program.
- Understood and agree.***

#### **Table 4: Program Assessment Data**

##### **Program SLOs Assessed - Recommendations/Comments:**

- o Not all Program Learning Outcomes for the program are listed.
- o Only two assessments are included in the report and do not reflect results for the entire five-year reporting period.
- o Only one assessment includes the Expected Level of Achievement and neither includes the sections Results of Program Assessment or the Next Steps.
- o By Fall of 2012, instructional programs were expected to be at the Proficiency Level and for subsequent years are required to be at the Sustainable Continuous Quality Improvement Level of Student Learning Outcome Implementation. This level includes providing evidence of how student learning outcome assessment is contributing to student learning as well as providing confirmation that the assessment process has closed the loop and developed a cycle of improvement. ***The new FTE instructor will need to review and complete as required.***

##### **Evidence of Industry Validation (CTE Programs) - Recommendation/Comments:**

- o No Industry Validation is indicated. *The meeting of the Advisory Council was postponed due to the lack of finding a reasonable time for all to meet. The AC's member makeup was also not finalized. It will be a priority with the new instructor.*
  - o Industry validation is so important to obtain! This is especially true with changing technology and with a program that is struggling.
  - o New assessments need to be made and the 6 SLOs need to be listed and linked to evidence from industry data.
- The program recognizes the importance of an Advisory Council and will be one of the top priorities for the new FTE instructor.***

##### **Expected Level of Achievement - Recommendations/Comments:**

- o Unclear who is on the assessment team.
  - o The expected level was stated on PLO 1, but not on SLO 2.
- To be addressed by new instructor.***

##### **Course(s) Assessed - Recommendations/Comments:**

- o ETRO 20/20L, ETRO 40/40L

##### **Assessment Strategy/Instrument - Recommendation/Comments:**

- o Rubric attached.

##### **Results of Program Assessment - Recommendations/Comments:**

- o No assessment results were provided.
- To be addressed by new instructor.***

**Next Steps - Recommendations/Comments:** *All recommendations will be considered and worked on by the new ETRO FTE instructor. It is fair to state that this will take time and that items will be prioritized with program effectiveness at the top.*

- o Assessment should be done as soon as possible on selected ETRO courses.
- To be addressed by new instructor.***

##### **Overall Recommendations/Comments for Program Assessment Data:**

- o Develop and/or review the program's five year assessment plan and include clear articulation of ILOs, PLOs, and CLOs alignment. ***To be addressed by new instructor.***
- o Implement a comprehensive assessment plan that 1) authentically assesses student learning for each CLO and, 2) contributes to a comprehensive program assessment strategy for improving student learning. Through this process, work with the program's advisory committee and continue adapting the program to the community's needs to provide ongoing opportunities for graduates. ***To be addressed by new instructor.***

##### **Learning Outcome Alignment Grid:**

##### **Overall Recommendations/Comments for Alignment Grid:**

- o The Program Outcomes and Courses Grid depicts a program where most courses contribute to most of the program's

outcomes. It is not clear at which level course material is introduced, reinforced, or where students are capable of producing genuine evidence of their learning.

o To make this grid more meaningful for developing assessments and indicating student learning, the level at which each course contributes to the program outcome should be developed to assist in building an overall five year assessment strategy and annual assessment plans.

o There was no way for this committee to assess alignment due to insufficient information.

o PLOs should have been clearly identified.

**To be addressed by new instructor.**

#### **Table 5: Other Considerations Affecting the Program**

##### **Recommendations/Comments for Other Considerations Affecting the Program:**

o Nothing was indicated in this section.

o The program has been at a disadvantage without an instructor for the program and has been relying on the use of lecturers to run the program after the retirement of the former instructor. The new instructor is working to catch up on all of the program's needs.

o Committee recommends that the program and division leadership needs to create a strategic plan, with both short-term and long-term goals for this program. The use of the Advisory Committee and other industry shareholders would be helpful. **Agree and will consider with the new FTE instructor.**

#### **Part III Goals and Program Improvement**

##### **Table 6: Goals and Alignment**

**Goal 1: Acquire proper equipment and workbenches so students may run labs without having to deal with test equipment malfunctions.**

##### **Goal 1 Recommendations/Comments:**

o No narrative is given for ILO alignment, UH Collaboration, or Innovation.

o It would be helpful to describe how the goals might improve the program and student learning. This narrative would be helpful in an in-depth discussion that includes: 1) UH collaborations, 2) innovations, 3) benefit of program contributing to the workforce on Hawai'i Island, and 4) acquisition of equipment and creation of a useful workplace for students.

***This writer is answering through his own experiences and limited understanding of ETRO's Goal 1. The electronics industry is one of the fastest growing, most progressive fields with applications touching some part of most modern personal, commercial, industrial and manufacturing sectors. The field requires training in troubleshooting and problem solving, as well as creating new applications. Much of the field relies on diagnostic and test equipment that are compatible with various programs and applications. ETRO has not recently updated many of their "basic" testing equipment. Some are broken and/or outdated. As with the automotive field (which uses dozens of micro-computers and electronic switching), up to date diagnostic/testing equipment is mandatory.***

**Goal 2: Align program to mirror MCC's AS program to facilitate student transfer into MCC's BAS program.**

##### **Goal 2 Recommendations/Comments:**

o No narrative is given for ILO alignment, UH Collaboration, or Innovation.

o Create a more complete narrative that explains how the goal aligns with the mission, ILOs, and UH Program counterparts.

o State the collaboration with UHMC and innovation demonstrated or intended.

**To be addressed by new instructor.**

**Goal 3: Build a strong advisory council team that can help guide the program in a direction that will fulfill community needs.**

##### **Goal 3 Recommendations/Comments:**

o No narrative is given for ILO alignment, UH Collaboration, or Innovation.

o Unclear how this goal aligns with ILO 1.

o The building of a strong advisory council team will demonstrate a stronger alignment to ILO 3.

**Agree and will consider with the new FTE instructor.**

##### **Overall Recommendations/Comments for Goals and Alignment:**

o It is essential to explain how the goals align with the mission, ILOs, UH Program counterpart (if applicable). These items should be elaborated on in future program reviews.

o Elaborate on how one or more of the ILOs aligns with each stated goal. A clearer description would create a better

understanding of the program intention.

o Devote more time to this area by developing and articulating UH collaborations and discuss improvements expected in both the short-term (1-2 years) and long-term (5-10 years). Set a time table with leadership guidance.

**Agree and will consider with the new FTE instructor.**

**Table 7: Prioritized Top 3 Cost Items- (“G” funded requests only) *This section was commented on by the former FTE instructor and should be reviewed by the new FTE instructor. Though valid points are listed, there needs to be a robust discussion about the community and industry needs and review if the current curriculum meets the demands as envisioned by the Advisory Council, industry employers and the college’s Strategic Plan.***

**Cost Item 1: Hire 1 FTE-Faculty.**

**Cost Item 1 - Comments:**

o No alignment to Action Strategies were provided.

o There needs to be more discussion of the need to change from a two-year to a one-year cycle for the first and second year courses to promote persistence for students and efficiency of class filling and more students in the program who graduate.

**Cost Item 2: Electronic workbenches.**

**Cost Item 2 - Comments:**

o No alignment to Action Strategies were provided.

o Information and justification given earlier in the narrative.

**Cost Item 3: Multisim (15 user license).**

**Cost Item 3 - Comments:**

o No alignment to Action Strategies were provided.

o Software needs are not identified in Weakness 2.

o More information would be helpful to connect the need to a course and/or SLO as well as a weakness.

**Overall Recommendations/Comments for Prioritized Top 3 Cost Items:**

o No alignment with Action Strategies was provided. Define and clarify Action Strategies in order to bolster the need for the cost items. Writer needs to state how each cost request best fits which Action Strategies in the Strategic Plan? If it does not match to any of the existing Action Strategies, a new action strategy can be recommended.

o Did not examine strengths and weaknesses, nor the data elements associated with the program. These could have been used to justify this position.

o No annual program review was attached, and it was difficult to view and understand the program’s history. An annual program review is needed to create clarity of program development and strategy.

o It would be helpful for the writer of the review to go through a tutorial session. This is important for the CERC to truly understand present status, history, innovation, future implementation and assessment.

o Cost items seem to be insufficient to the success of the program. It seems that the person writing the report did not have the full information, but did a very good job of putting together and working with the information available.

o Equipment is a high priority! Student and faculty safety is imperative. Addressing the ventilation system in the laboratory is a high priority for student/faculty safety and the institution’s liability. This was stated in both Weakness 1 & 2, but not prioritized as a cost item.

**o It is highly recommended that the program, in consultation and guidance with the Dean and the DC, resubmit its program review in November 2013. A stronger document would yield a higher score, which will give the priority budget items a better chance at being funded.**

**Table 8: Staffing**

**Recommendations/Comments for Staffing:**

o It will be a priority to increase the faculty to change the two-year to a one-year cycle of first and second year classes.

*CERC provided recommendations intended as suggestions for improvement. Provide a brief response to the suggestions made. i.e., Were the suggestion(s) valid? What change(s) were made as a result of the suggestion(s)?, etc.*

- *If no changes were made at all, write “None.”*
- *If no changes were made during this review period but you plan to in future periods, write “None in 2013-2014 however changes will be made in (AYs) and will be reported in that review.*
- *If no changes were made during this review period but changes were made in previous review periods, write “None in*

2013-2014; however changes were made in (AYs).”

**Due to the departure of the FTE instructor at the end of the 2013-2014 academic year, this writer hesitates to comment on the CERC’s recommendations directly, as a person representing the ETRO Program. The responses by this writer uses experiences as a CTE Division chair, trade instructor, former trade business owner (25years), and resident of the Hawaii Island for nearly six decades, to help complete this review.**

## Part I: Quantitative/Qualitative Indicators

### A. Annual Report of Program Data (ARPD) Data Grid

Look up ARPD data at:

<http://www.hawaii.edu/offices/cc/arpd/instructional.php?year=2014&action=quantitativeindicators&college=HAW>

Print for convenience since you will need to use information to discuss your Program's indicators.

### B. ARPD Data Analysis

*Based on the data from the ARPD, analyze the program's strengths and weaknesses in terms of demand, efficiency, and effectiveness.*

*If this Program is scheduled for Comprehensive Review, analyze program over 3 years.*

<b>Demand Health UNHEALTHY</b>	<b>Efficiency Health CAUTIONARY</b>	<b>Effectiveness Health CAUTIONARY</b>
<p>The Program rating of Unhealthy is due to the large number of students in the major and low number of New and Replacement Positions.</p> <p>This Unhealthy rating can be due to the CIP code designated for the program. The CIP code does not encompass all the new and replacement positions in the county that the students from this program are eligible to fill. Instead, the CIP code narrows the prospective jobs down to a single field which results in a low number for the new and replacement (county prorated) field. The Electronic Technology student at HawCC is trained to fill many types of technician positions such as service technician, entry-level information technologist, communication technician... etc. It is difficult to find one CIP code that is indicative of the programs job prospects especially in the Hilo County. The field is very broad and could fall under many CIP codes.</p> <p><b>Past Three Years: Demand Indicators Health Call</b>  <b>2011-2012: Unhealthy, 2012-2013: Unhealthy, 2013-2014: Healthy</b>  <b>The number of majors has climbed 37%, validating that the interest in electronics is on the rise (past three years: 12, 13, 19). What is disturbing but outside the control of the program is the County Prorated Jobs: one for each passing</b></p>	<p>The program is given a Fill Rate of 42% which is an unhealthy level and the Majors to FTE BOR Appointed Faculty are 13 which is a cautionary level. The average of the two numbers put the program at a cautionary level.</p> <p>The low fill rate is due to the student enrollment being less than the maximum enrollment. Previous to the fall of 2012 the program had stopped taking new enrollment and started up again last fall 2012. In that first semester, the program enrollment was at 5 full-time students. In the fall of 2013 the enrollment increased by 4 for a total of 9 full-time students. The enrollment grew 80% in 1 year. If the upward trend continues, the fill rate level should become higher.</p> <p>The Majors to FTE BOR Appointed Faculty is a cautionary level but should also increase in to the upper end of the cautionary level as the enrollment numbers increase.</p> <p><b>Past Three Years: Efficiency Indicators Health Call</b>  <b>2011-2012: Unhealthy, 2012-2013: Cautionary, 2013-2014: Cautionary</b>  <b>Average class size has risen every year. Fill Rate has risen 24%,9%. Majors to FTE BOR Faculty has gone from zero (0) to 19. The cautionary rating is due to Fill Rate, the program is only half filled. There has been much recruiting including an</b></p>	<p>The effectiveness indicators are based upon the average category health score of two fields, the persistence from fall to spring and unduplicated degrees/certificates awarded. The persistence from fall spring was given a healthy rating with a 72.7% persistence rate.</p> <p>The program was given an unhealthy rating for unduplicated degrees/certificates awarded with a score of 5. The effectiveness indicator is the average of the two fields which resulted in a cautionary health indicator.</p> <p>The unhealthy rating is again dependent on the new and replacement positions for the county which is low due to the CIP code designated for the program. As mentioned previously, the CIP code does not encompass all the new and replacement positions in the county that the students from this program are eligible to fill.</p> <p><b>Past Three Years: Effectiveness Indicators Health Call</b>  <b>2011-2012: Cautionary, 2012-2013: Cautionary, 2013-2014: Cautionary</b>  <b>Persistence from 2013-2014 Fall to Spring is 16.7% higher than the previous year and 25.2% over the first year of this period. The instructor was instrumental in the rise of the persistence rates since the course work displayed more pertinence and</b></p>

<p>year. Other optimistic signs include the sharp rise in SSH: +79%, 58%. FTE Enrolled +84%, 61%. Total number of classes taught, 18.</p>	<p>ETRO Open House activity to increase the awareness of the program. Unfortunately the first year cohort was cancelled due to the instructor leaving.</p>	<p>application to the current industry trends. The instructor also spent extra hours to help and mentor students. The Unduplicated Degrees/Certificates Awarded were naturally lower due to the one year stop out of the program previous to this academic year. The next graduating class (2015) will be about seven (7).</p>
---	--	--

<p><b>Overall Health</b></p>
<p><b>CAUTIONARY</b></p>

**Distance Education: Completely Online Classes** -- List and provide an analysis of courses taught completely online. (i.e., compare success to face-to-face; action strategies implemented to increase success and completion rates, e.g., working with ITSO on strategies)

The program does not offer distance education courses.

**Perkins IV Core Indicators** -- Identify core indicators (1P1, 2P1, 3P1, 4P1, 5P1, 5P2) that were **not** met and specify action strategies.

The program met four of six Perkins IV Core Indicators (1P1, 2P1, 3P1, 4P1). It did not meet core indicators 5P1 (Nontraditional Participation) and 5P2 (Nontraditional Completion)

The former instructor is very knowledgeable and allowed easy accessibility to discuss matters outside of class hours. This combination resulted in positive improvements for 1P1 Technical Skills Attainment, 2P1 Completion, 3P1 Student retention or Transfer, and 4P1 Student Placement. Students were motivated and excited about the various applications presented. The instructor was very tech savvy and could easily relate to student needs and concerns.

5P1 The former instructor was looking into recruiting women into the field through a program called Women Tech Educators. The new FTE instructor should consider not only this program but seek guidance and strategize how to best recruit women into this field. It is recognized that this field requires less intensive physical involvement but more dexterity than other trades (carpentry, auto mechanics, etc.) which hopefully will attract more females.

**Performance Funding (Graduation, Native Hawaiian, STEM, Transfer, Degree)** -- Describe how your program contributed to performance funding in these areas? If not, why and how do you plan to contribute in the future?

The ETRO Program's numbers in all categories except one (transfer to UH 4-yr), has dropped from the previous year. It is assumed when that the program will positively contribute to these numbers when it stabilizes (new FTE instructor, active Advisory Council, curriculum review). All areas, especially STEM degrees and certificates should improve once a solid program is established.

**C. Trends & Other Factors** -- Describe trends including comparisons to any applicable standards, such as college, program, or national standards from accrediting associations, etc. Include, if relevant, a summary of Satisfaction Survey Results, special studies and/or instruments used, e.g., CCSSE, etc. Describe any external factors affecting this program or additional program changes not included elsewhere.

Describe trends including comparisons to any applicable standards, such as college, program, or national standards from accrediting associations, etc. Include, if relevant, a summary of Satisfaction Survey Results, special studies and/or instruments used, e.g., CCSSE, etc. Describe any external factors affecting this program or additional program changes not included elsewhere.

***This writer is not qualified to comment here.***

## Part II: Analysis of the Program

### A. Alignment with Institutional Mission & Learning Outcomes (ILOs)

#### 1) College Mission Alignment

*Hawai'i Community College (HawCC) promotes student learning by embracing our unique Hawai'i Island culture and inspiring growth in the spirit of "E`Imi Pono." Aligned with the UH Community Colleges system's mission, we are committed to serving all segments of our Hawai'i Island community.*

***Copy/Paste from your 2012-2013 Program Review, your description of how this Program supports the College's Mission. Review and revise as you feel necessary. The description you finalize in the field below will be input into PATH for future reports.***

*Example: The SUBS program's faculty and staff fosters excellence in education, workforce development, academic advising and co-curricular activities that focus on engaging, challenging and transforming students to strive for academic excellence, personal growth, contributing members of the Hawai'i Island Community.*

The Electronics Program will provide a rich and comprehensive learning environment that allows students to achieve the objectives set by the program and industry. This includes disciplinary knowledge and skills, soft skills (work ethics, communication, accountability and initiative), critical thinking and involvement in the Hawaiian community.

#### 2) ILO Alignment

a) **ILO1:** *Our graduates will be able to communicate effectively in a variety of situations.*

***Copy/Paste from your 2012-2013 Program Review, your description of how this Program supports this ILO. Review and revise as you feel necessary. The description you finalize in the field below will be input into PATH for future reports. If Program doesn't support this ILO, write "No alignment to ILO1"***

*Example: The SUBS program's curriculum prepares our graduates to communicate effectively by requiring the students to participate in: 1) small and large group discussions, both online and face-to-face; 2) individual and group presentations; 3) role play of interviewing and counseling skills; 3) fieldwork at practicum sites; 4) service learning activities on campus and in the greater community.*

*Last Modified on: 10-14-2013*

The program requires students to learn different software and drafting techniques that are utilized as vehicles to communicate technical information. They are required to know schematic symbols of many of the common electronics components. A schematic diagram drawn by students here in Hawai'i can be read and understood by an engineer or electronic technician from Japan or Australia. It is a universal language and a means for communicating technical information.

In addition, many of the labs and projects require students to work in a group. In order for their group to function properly and complete projects in a timely fashion, students must be able to effectively communicate with each other. By requiring students to change lab partners, they also learn to adapt their communication according to how their partners process information.

In the electronics technology program, students develop their technical communication through schematics drawings and their interpersonal communication through group work. A graduate of the program will essentially be a well-rounded communicator.

b) **ILO2:** *Our graduates will be able to gather, evaluate and analyze ideas and information to use in overcoming challenges, solving problems and making decisions.*

**Copy/Paste from your 2012-2013 Program Review, your description of how this Program supports this ILO. Review and revise as you feel necessary. The description you finalize in the field below will be input into PATH for future reports. If Program doesn't support this ILO, write "No alignment to ILO2"**

*Last Modified on: 10-14-2013*

The Electronic student learns to design solutions to problems and methodically troubleshoot circuits and other electronic equipment. The art of troubleshooting requires students to be investigative and resourceful. Students have to gather information such as the make and model of the broken equipment or how the equipment was being used when it broke. They need to know what the exact symptoms are and whether it occurs consistently or if it is an intermittent problem. Then they can use resources like the manual or the internet to gather more information. From their investigation, they must decide whether the equipment is worth fixing or not. If the cost of fixing the equipment is more than purchasing new equipment, then the decision is easy but many times the solution is not obvious and more information and investigation must occur. In trying to fix equipment, students are constantly developing their problem solving skills and decision making skills through hands-on work. Electronics Technology is a very analytical field and this is one of many examples of students overcoming challenges, solving problems and making decisions.

**c) ILO3:** *Our graduates will develop the knowledge, skills and values to make contributions to our community in a manner that respects diversity and Hawaiian culture.*

**Copy/Paste from your 2012-2013 Program Review, your description of how this Program supports this ILO. Review and revise as you feel necessary. The description you finalize in the field below will be input into PATH for future reports. If Program doesn't support this ILO, write "No alignment to ILO3"**

*Last Modified on: 10-14-2013*

In the program, students come from different backgrounds and cultures. Through team projects and taking courses together, many of the students form close bonds to each other. The students often share with each other their cultural backgrounds, through stories they tell each other, food they bring and share or even the way they speak. There is always a few students Although many of the students come from very diverse backgrounds, they all tend to pull together as one unit when the rigors of school work start to bog them down.

**B. Program Mission – Write Official Program Mission**

The Electronics Technology program, in alignment with HawCC's mission, accepts students from all segments of our Hawai'i Island community. Without bias or prejudice, each student is expected to think critically and solve problems logically and methodically. In the process of thinking critically and solving problems, students are constantly evaluating themselves and their abilities and always striving for excellence.

**C. Strengths and Weaknesses**

**1) Strengths (Top 3 defined)**

State Strength	Using supporting evidence, describe why this is a strength
<i>Example: Program Curriculum</i>	<i>Example: 1) Approved by the State Department of Health as meeting the addictions</i>

	<p><i>requirements for Certified Substance Abuse Counseling, and Certified Prevention Specialist educational requirements.</i></p> <p>2) <i>STEM Courses - SUBS 132, 268, 270</i></p> <p>3) <i>Contains sufficient SUBS core requirement courses to develop an AA Degree in SUBS</i></p> <p>4) <i>Indigenous course - SUBS 141 Ho`oponopono</i></p>
S1. Optimistic job market	<p>The 2010 Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS) states that one of the strengths of the science and technology field in Hawai'i is that Numerous technology companies have recently been established including and not limited to Liquid Robotics, Inc. (Wave Glider CO2 and Ocean Acidification Observation Program) and Big Island Carbon (production of premium grade, granular activated carbon from macadamia nut shells). In addition the 2010 CEDS listed the entire priority projects list for science and technology from 2010-2013. Project ID number SC106 is a small business program which support science and technology businesses in Hawai'i County. Also a relevant to our program is the long-term projects SC108 and SC109. SC108 is a project to improve existing and install new infrastructure to support astronomy/observatory industry on Mauna Kea. SC109 is a project island-wider project to plan, design and implement broadband capabilities. This particular project is estimated to have a budget of \$80,000,000.</p> <p>All of these projects is indicative of a growing industry and leads to an optimistic job market for graduates of the program whether they decide to start their own business or seek employment from private or public sector.</p>
S2. Growing interest in STEM fields	<p>Electronics Technology is in the category of Science Technology Engineering and Mathematics (STEM). The interest in STEM fields such as electronics technology has gained momentum over the past few years and this is exemplified in our youth with the growing interest in robotics at the local high schools.</p> <p>In addition, the electronics program has grown 80% since the fall 2012 to the fall 2013. Many of the students currently interested in the program either come from other trades programs or have in interest in fixing their own devices. The students that come from other trades find electronic useful because all the machines and tools they use integrate some sort of electronics in them. This makes the courses more relevant to their trade. The up and coming youth are showing much interest in STEM programs such as electronics which is a strength all fields in STEM areas.</p>
S3. Engineering Consortium meetings	<p>Over the past few years, there have been several Engineering consortium meetings with the 4 year colleges and the community colleges from the neighbor islands. The progress is slow but there is still talk of how we can align our programs. The consortium meetings also focus in on underrepresented students and how to gain their interest in technology fields.</p>

## 2) Weaknesses (Top 3 defined)

State Weakness	Using supporting evidence, describe why this is a Weakness	Proposed solution
<i>Example:</i>	<i>Example:</i>	<i>Example:</i>

<p><i>Lacks 2-year Degree Program</i></p>	<p><i>Does not meet HawCC AMP Priorities (pp 5-10): Increasing Graduates in Science, Technology, Engineering and Math (STEM).</i></p>	<p><i>Proposal being made for New AMP Action Strategies that would allow and support the addition of a 2-yr Degree Program for SUBS.</i></p>
<p>W1. Insufficient Ventilation</p>	<p>The facility's existing square footage does not provide for an efficient working space for students, especially in the non-air-conditioned lab. During lab, the jalousies and the bay door are opened fully for maximum ventilation to dilute solder fumes from vehicles passing by the shop. The electronics lab has components that breakdown due to high humidity and extreme temperatures.</p>	
<p>W2. Finding Qualified Instructors</p>	<p>The program is taking in new students every fall. It is not possible for one instructor to manage first and second year students. We rely heavily on lecturers to help teach some of the courses. The task of recruiting qualified lecturers is not a trivial task. There are very few applicants in the lecturer pool. Every semester, it is a constant struggle to find people qualified to teach courses. We have reached out to the UH campus lecturers, math department at HCC and also connecting with people in the industry. People working full-time jobs are often reluctant to lecture part-time.</p>	
<p>W3. Classroom is not able to accommodate the maximum enrollment</p>	<p>The classroom does not have enough desk space to accommodate the maximum number of students. Currently, we are able to seat 8 students comfortably and 10 when we use a folding table. The maximum enrollment for the program is 10 students. The best way to mitigate this classroom space issue is to reduce the computer lab area. The lab area uses desktop computers which require more space than necessary.</p>	

### Part III: Course/Program Assessment

#### A. Course(s) Assessed -- *List the course(s) (Alpha/#) assessed during this reporting period.*

*Example:*

*Courses: SUBS 140, 245, 268*

*PLO#1: Satisfy the addiction studies educational requirements for Hawaii State Department of Health Alcohol and Drug*

*Division 's (ADAD) Certification:*

*Embedded in PLO#1 are PLO 's 2, 3, 4, & 5*

ETRO 122L

#### B. Expected Level of Achievement -- *Describe the different levels of achievement for each characteristic of the learning outcome(s) that were assessed. That represented "excellent," "good," "fair," or "poor" performance using a defined rubric and what percentages were set as goals for student success; i.e. 85% of students will achieve good or excellent in the assessed activity."*

N/A

#### C. Assessment Strateg(y/ies) & Instrument(s) -- *Describe what, why, where, when, and from whom assessment artifacts were collected.*

*Example:*

*SAMPLING: College records for seven (all) 2009 program graduates*

Assessment of SLO 1 was done through testing. An example of the test question is provided below:

Circuit Design (10pts)

Note: Specification sheet shown in problem could not be imported to this document.

You are asked to design a simple series LED circuit. The specification sheet for the LED is shown above. In order for the LED to run at its most optimal conditions, we want it to run in forward voltage. We will be using a 9V battery as the power source. Draw the schematic design of the circuit with all components properly labeled with values. Show all your work for partial credit.

Assessment of SLO 2 was administered through a team assignment. Their assignment was to develop a bicycle light that automatically turns on at night. The students turned in a final report as well as a final product. The project is also an assessment of SLO 1 because the assignment involved a photoresistor and an LED which are both optoelectronic devices.

*Strategy/Instrument 4:*

#### D. Results of Course Assessment - *Provide a summary of assessment results.*

*Example:*

*RESULTS: 86% (6/7) program graduates met or exceeded expectations: completed SUBS 140,245, 268 with a "C" grade or better. 1/7 students received an incomplete grade.*

Results of SLO 1 are shown below

	Student 1	Student 2	Student 3	Student 4	Student 5	TOTALS
RAW SCORE	9.00	8.00	7.50	6.50	7.00	7.60
PERCENTAGE	90.00%	80.00%	75.00%	65.00%	70.00%	76.00%

---

Results of SLO 2 were assessed using a rubric. The students all assessed their teammates and that score was averaged into the instructor assessment of the team. The average grade was 85%. The Rubric can be provided upon request.

For SLO 1 were slightly below our goal of 85% and for SLO 2 we just met our goal.

<b>Changes Implemented as a result of Assessment</b>	<b>Evaluation of the changes that were implemented</b>
<i>Change 1:</i>	<i>Evaluation of Change 1:</i>
<i>Change 2:</i>	<i>Evaluation of Change 2:</i>

**E. Next Steps --** *Based on your experience with Assessment so far, what do you plan to do in the future? Include any changes that are planned for the Program as a result of course assessments. For example, changes to rubrics, changes to level of expectation, any Program and/or curriculum modifications, etc.*

The program will work on developing students ability to properly read specification sheets and interpret what their reading. This is where most of the students had trouble. They may have had the ability to answer the question but they did not possess the ability to interpret the specification sheet.

The teamwork skills met our goals but this may not be the case for larger classes. Our data is based on 5 students which is not a very large sample set. New data drawn from larger classes may result in lower percentages. We will re-assess this SLO within the next five years.

**F. Evidence of Industry Validation for CTE Programs** -- *Provide documentation that the program has submitted evidence and achieved certification or accreditation from an organization granting certification in an industry or profession. If the program/degree/certificate does not have a certifying body, the recommendations for, approval of, and/or participation in, assessment by the program's advisory council can be submitted. Describe the documentation; i.e. 9/27/2013 Minutes of ACC Advisory Council; Completed Rubrics by Advisory Council Members.*

The program did not have an opportunity to have a formal Advisory Council meeting.

The program plans to form a new Advisory Council made up of local industry employers and/or experts in the field. Due to the diversity of industries (local/state businesses) the scheduling and actual meeting may be a challenge and take time to organize. If there is an immediate instructional need for a certain sector of electronics, this may be considered and prioritized. If other sustainable needs are recognized, but not in the existing curriculum, consideration will be given for inclusion through the CRC process.

## Part IV Action Plan

### A. 20% Course Review

a) **Courses Reviewed** -- List the Course Alpha/Number and Course Title of courses that were reviewed in AY 2013-2014.

Course Alpha Number	Course Title
ETRO 11	ELECTONICS, ASSEMBLING AND PACKAGING
ETRO 120	ELECTRONICS I
ETRO 120L	ELECTRONICS I LAB
ETRO 121	ELECTRONICS FABRICATION AND ASSEMBLY
ETRO 121L	ELECTRONICS FAB AND ASSEMB LAB
ETRO 122	ELECTRONICS II
ETRO 122L	ELECTRONICS II LAB
ETRO 143	DIGITAL ELECTRONICS
ETRO 143L	DIGITAL ELECTRONICS LAB
ETRO 160	LASER SAFETY AND APPLICATIONS
ETRO 161	INTRODUCTION TO OPTICS AND PHOTONICS
ETRO 166	INTRODUCTION TO FIBER OPTICS
ETRO 257	RF COMMUNICATIONS
ETRO 280	MICROPROCESS ARCHITECTURE, PROGRAMMING, AND INTERFACING
ETRO 287	COMPUTER SYSTEMS & NETWORKING
ETRO 287L	COMPUTER SYSTEMS & NETWORKING LAB

### b) 20% Course Review Schedule

Input the Program's 20% Course Review Schedule for the next 5 years. If a schedule cannot be located, refer to HAW 5.250 Course Review Policy (<http://hawaii.hawaii.edu/ovcadmin/admin-manual/haw5-250.pdf>) to create a new schedule.

Course Alpha Number	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019
<b>PROGRAM STOPPED OUT INDEFINITELY</b> <i>The new Advisory Council and FTE instructor should first review current courses and decide the direction of the program before reviewing current courses.</i>					
ETRO 11 - ELECTONICS, ASSEMBLING AND PACKAGING					

ETRO 120 - ELECTRONICS I					
ETRO 120L - ELECTRONICS I LAB					
ETRO 121 - ELECTRONICS FABRICATION AND ASSEMBLY					
ETRO 121L - ELECTRONICS FAB AND ASSEMB LAB					
ETRO 122 - ELECTRONICS II					
ETRO 122L - ELECTRONICS II LAB					
ETRO 143 - DIGITAL ELECTRONICS					
ETRO 143L - DIGITAL ELECTRONICS LAB					
ETRO 160 - LASER SAFETY AND APPLICATIONS					
ETRO 161 - INTRODUCTION TO OPTICS AND PHOTONICS					
ETRO 166 - INTRODUCTION TO FIBER OPTICS					
ETRO 257 - RF COMMUNICATIONS					
ETRO 280 - MICROPROCESS ARCHITECTURE, PROGRAMMING, AND INTERFACING					
ETRO 287 - COMPUTER SYSTEMS & NETWORKING					
ETRO 287L - COMPUTER SYSTEMS & NETWORKING LAB					

## B. Previous Goals (Program Actions) & Planning

All previous goals from last year's report are used to update the program actions in the Academic Master Plan (AMP) Appendix.

- List and discuss all program actions listed for your program in the AMP Appendix, not including crossed out items. (<http://hawaii.hawaii.edu/docs/academic-master-plan-appendix-priority-actions.pdf>)
- Review and specify which program actions were addressed or completed during Review Period AY 2013-2014.
- Give a progress report for each program action that is not yet address/completed and describe the degree to which the goal was achieved over the review period.
- Specify program actions that are no longer being pursued by the program and should be deleted from the AMP.

AMP Program Actions	Progress Evaluation & Evidence of Achievement
<p><i>Example:</i> 26.1 2009-2010: Recruit and Hire New SUBS -- FTE BOR Appointed Faculty</p>	<p><i>Example:</i> The CERC and HawCC administration approved new faculty position for program, which was submitted to UH system. However, this writer was informed that the position request got "lost" in the UH system, and therefore never forwarded to the</p>

	<i>State legislature for approval.</i>
Acquire proper equipment and workbenches so students may run labs without having to deal with test equipment malfunctions	Developing the Electronics Technology program required proper test equipment and workbenches. We have acquired enough test equipment to perform labs with up to 15 students. The workbenches are not electronics workbenches, they are workbenches from RAC they will suffice for the time being but we need to supply proper lighting for the benches.
Align program to mirror UH Maui's AS program to facilitate student transfer into UH Maui's BAS program	Delete. UHMCC has a terminal Computer technology BAS program. It is a terminal degree because the program is not an engineering accredited program.
Build a strong advisory council team that can help guide the program in a direction that will fulfill community needs	The advisory council has been updated. We now have a representative from West Hawai'i and also from HELCO and

**C. New Goals (Action Strategies) and Alignment** – Describe New Goals, if any

**Define Goal (Action Strategy) 1**

<i>Example: Establish AA Degree in SUBS</i>
Modify program so that it is relevant to community needs. <b>(continue from last year)</b>

**Alignment of Goal 1 to ILO(s)**

<b>Explain how Goal 1 aligns with ILO(s) and provide supporting rationale</b>
Example: Goal 1 aligns with ILO2 (Critical Thinking) by ... Goal 1 aligns with ILO3 (Community contribution) by ...
ILO 1
ILO 2

**Alignment of Goal 1 to Strategic Plan (SP)**

[http://hawaii.hawaii.edu/docs/HawCCStrategicPlan\\_2008-2015\\_10-29-09.pdf](http://hawaii.hawaii.edu/docs/HawCCStrategicPlan_2008-2015_10-29-09.pdf)

<b>Explain how Goal 1 aligns with an Action Strategy in the Strategic Plan (SP). Include SP Reference(s) and provide supporting rationale. If Goal 1 does not align with a listed strategy, explain how it aligns to a SP Performance measure. Then, propose a new action strategy in the next field.</b>
Examples: Goal 1 <u>aligns</u> with SP Action Strategy A1.1.c Increase Native Hawaiian enrollment by 3% per year particularly in regions that are underserved) by ... Goal 1 <u>does not align</u> to a listed strategy, but aligns with SP Performance Measure A1.1 (Increase Native Hawaiian enrollment by 3% per year particularly in regions that are underserved) by ...

A1.1 Increase Native Hawaiian enrollment by 3% per year particularly in regions that are underserved.

B1.a Use enrollment data to focus on strategic recruitment, retention, graduation and transfer

**Proposed New SP Action Strategy/Strategies (if applicable)** – If Goal 1 does not align with a listed HawCC Action Strategy, indicate above how it aligns with a Performance Measure, and then use the field below to propose a new Action Strategy to be added to the HawCC Strategic Plan. New action strategies should be written in generalized terms so that other Programs and Units could also align their goals to them in the future.

1. One of the challenging tasks of running a program such as electronics is staying up to date and keeping the program relevant to the Hawai'i Community. The program needs to focus on strategic recruitment. Student that attend trade schools are generally interested in learning a trade and then working in the field. They often inquire about the type of work available to them when they graduate. The observatories are an obvious candidate but there are also places such as AT&T, Time Warner Oceanic and various other small businesses. The new strategic plan is not a task that can be carried out in one year but it is an ongoing process. The field changes so rapidly that the survival of the program is dependent on staying up to date and relevant to the community needs. Data will have to be collected from various companies and employers to define their needs and how our students can fill that need.

### Alignment of Goal 1 to Academic Master Plan (AMP)

Academic Master Plan: [http://hawaii.hawaii.edu/docs/HawCCStrategicPlan\\_2008-2015\\_10-29-09.pdf](http://hawaii.hawaii.edu/docs/HawCCStrategicPlan_2008-2015_10-29-09.pdf)

AMP Appendix: <http://hawaii.hawaii.edu/docs/academic-master-plan-appendix-priority-actions.pdf>

Indicate which Academic Master Plan (AMP) Action Priorities Goal 1 aligns with and provide supporting reasoning.

	STEM	Graduation Remediation Workforce	Student Transfer	Underserved Populations	Green Curricula	Program Development
<i>Example: Establishing an AA Degree in SUBS will increase the number of STEM Degree programs at HawCC and meet the Workforce push for more STEM graduates.</i>	<b>X</b>	<b>X</b>				<b>X</b>
Modify program so that it is relevant to community needs		<b>X</b>				<b>X</b>

### UH System Collaboration (if applicable)

- Include collaboration efforts w/other campuses.
- Include alignment with the UHCC Initiatives <http://uhcc.hawaii.edu/OVPCC/> (listed on the left of John Morton's picture).

*Example: There is dialogue among MauiCC, KauaiCC, and HawaiiCC to establish a common AA Degree in SUBS.*

**Calendar of planned activities for Goal 1** -- In chronological order, briefly describe the procedures/activities planned to achieve Goal 1

Activity	When will the activity take place
----------	-----------------------------------

<i>Example:</i> Collaborating with other CCs complete SUBS AA Degree Authorization to Plan (AtP)	<i>Example:</i> Fall 2015
Meet with Advisory Council	Summer 2015
Make changes to curriculum	Pending hiring of new instructor.
Try to establish contacts from other industries (Sears repair, Time Warner Oceanic, AT&T...etc.)	on going

\*\*\*\*\*

## Define Goal (Action Strategy) 2

None
------

## Alignment of Goal 2 to ILO(s)


## Alignment of Goal 2 to Strategic Plan (SP)

[http://hawaii.hawaii.edu/docs/HawCCStrategicPlan\\_2008-2015\\_10-29-09.pdf](http://hawaii.hawaii.edu/docs/HawCCStrategicPlan_2008-2015_10-29-09.pdf)

<b>Explain how Goal 2 aligns with an Action Strategy in the Strategic Plan (SP). Include SP Reference(s) and provide supporting rationale. If Goal 2 does not align with a listed strategy, explain how it aligns to a SP Performance measure. Then, propose a new action strategy in the next field.</b>

<b>Proposed New SP Action Strategy/Strategies (if applicable) – If Goal 2 does not align with a listed HawCC Action Strategy, indicate above how it aligns with a Performance Measure, and then use the field below to propose a new Action Strategy to be added to the HawCC Strategic Plan. New action strategies should be written in generalized terms so that other Programs and Units could also align their goals to them in the future.</b>

## Alignment of Goal 2 to Academic Master Plan (AMP)

Academic Master Plan: [http://hawaii.hawaii.edu/docs/HawCCStrategicPlan\\_2008-2015\\_10-29-09.pdf](http://hawaii.hawaii.edu/docs/HawCCStrategicPlan_2008-2015_10-29-09.pdf)

AMP Appendix: <http://hawaii.hawaii.edu/docs/academic-master-plan-appendix-priority-actions.pdf>

<b>Indicate which Academic Master Plan (AMP) Action Priorities Goal 2 aligns with and provide supporting reasoning.</b>						
	<b>STEM</b>	<b>Graduation Remediation</b>	<b>Student Transfer</b>	<b>Underserved Populations</b>	<b>Green Curricula</b>	<b>Program Development</b>

		Workforce				

**UH System Collaboration (if applicable) –**

- Include collaboration efforts w/other campuses.
- Include alignment with the UHCC Initiatives <http://uhcc.hawaii.edu/OVPCC/> (listed on the left of John Morton's picture).

**Calendar of planned activities for Goal 2 --** *In chronological order, briefly describe the procedures/activities planned to achieve Goal 2*

Activity	When will the activity take place

\*\*\*\*\*

**Define Goal (Action Strategy) 3**

**Alignment of Goal 3 to ILO(s)**

**Alignment of Goal 3 to Strategic Plan (SP)**

[http://hawaii.hawaii.edu/docs/HawCCStrategicPlan\\_2008-2015\\_10-29-09.pdf](http://hawaii.hawaii.edu/docs/HawCCStrategicPlan_2008-2015_10-29-09.pdf)

**Explain how Goal 3 aligns with an Action Strategy in the Strategic Plan (SP). Include SP Reference(s) and provide supporting rationale. If Goal 3 does not align with a listed strategy, explain how it aligns to a SP Performance measure. Then, propose a new action strategy in the next field.**

**Proposed New SP Action Strategy/Strategies (if applicable)** – If Goal 3 does not align with a listed HawCC Action Strategy, indicate above how it aligns with a Performance Measure, and then use the field below to propose a new Action Strategy to be added to the HawCC Strategic Plan. New action strategies should be written in generalized terms so that other Programs and Units could also align their goals to them in the future.

**Alignment of Goal 3 to Academic Master Plan (AMP)**

Academic Master Plan: [http://hawaii.hawaii.edu/docs/HawCCStrategicPlan\\_2008-2015\\_10-29-09.pdf](http://hawaii.hawaii.edu/docs/HawCCStrategicPlan_2008-2015_10-29-09.pdf)

AMP Appendix: <http://hawaii.hawaii.edu/docs/academic-master-plan-appendix-priority-actions.pdf>

Indicate which Academic Master Plan (AMP) Action Priorities Goal 3 aligns with and provide supporting reasoning.

	STEM	Graduation Remediation Workforce	Student Transfer	Underserved Populations	Green Curricula	Program Development

**UH System Collaboration (if applicable)** –

- Include collaboration efforts w/other campuses.
- Include alignment with the UHCC Initiatives <http://uhcc.hawaii.edu/OVPCC/> (listed on the left of John Morton's picture).

**Calendar of planned activities for Goal 3 - In chronological order, briefly describe the procedures/activities planned to achieve Goal 3**

Activity	When will the activity take place

## Part V: Resource Implications

### A. Cost Item 1

Description	<b>Type</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Personnel</li> <li>● Facilities</li> <li>● Equipment</li> <li>● Health/Safety</li> <li>● Others (Define)</li> </ul>	Estimated Cost
Proper cooling/ventilation in the lab.	Equipment	\$50k

### Alignment of Cost Item 1 to Strategic Plan (SP)

<b>Explain how Cost Item 1 aligns with the Strategic Plan (SP). Include SP Reference(s) and provide supporting rationale</b>
Example: Cost Item 1 aligns with SP A1.1 (Increase Native Hawaiian enrollment by 3% per year particularly in regions that are underserved.) by ...
A1.1 Increase Native Hawaiian enrollment by 3% per year particularly in regions that are underserved. New Strategy
E1.b Incorporate R/M schedule and equipment needs into planning for West Hawai'i campus. Utilize funding to plan, design, & begin construction of East Hawai'i/Manono campus; master plan should be based on needs assessment to include but not be limited to: instruction, student, staff, facilities, technology and parking for capacity of 5,000 headcount by 2015

### Alignment of Cost Item 1 to Academic Master Plan (AMP)

<b>Explain how Cost Item 1 aligns with the Academic Master Plan (AMP) Action Priorities.</b>
Example: Cost Item 1 aligns with Action Priority STEM because an instructor is necessary to develop the program.
AMP 14.1 Acquire proper equipment and workbenches so students may run labs without having to deal with test equipment malfunctions

### Alignment of Cost Item 1 to Strength(s)

<b>Explain how Cost Item 1 aligns with program Strength (From Part II. Section C). Address and provide supporting rationale. If there's no alignment, write "No Alignment."</b>
Example: No Alignment
S1. Optimistic job market

### Alignment of Cost Item 1 to Weaknesses(s)

<b>Explain how Cost Item 1 aligns with Weakness (From Part II. Section C). Address and provide supporting rationale. If there's no alignment, write "No Alignment."</b>
---

### W1. Insufficient Ventilation

The laboratory space for electronics should have proper ventilation and temperature control. The electronic components need to be kept in a temperature controlled space so that they do not break down over time as quickly over time. Also, the solder stations should have better ventilation so students are not breathing in the fumes. We use lead free solder but there should still be proper ventilation.

We also need proper ventilation for solder iron fumes. The facility's existing square footage does not provide for an efficient working space for students, especially in the non-air-conditioned lab. During lab, the jalousies and the bay door are opened fully for maximum ventilation to dilute solder fumes from vehicles passing by the shop.

\*\*\*\*\*

### B. Cost Item 2

Description	Type <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>● Personnel</li><li>● Facilities</li><li>● Equipment</li><li>● Health/Safety</li><li>● Others (Define)</li></ul>	Estimated Cost
Laboratory benches	Equipment	\$20k

### Alignment of Cost Item 2 to Strategic Plan (SP)

**Explain how Cost Item 2 aligns with the Strategic Plan (SP). Include SP Reference(s) and provide supporting rationale**

A1.1 Increase Native Hawaiian enrollment by 3% per year particularly in regions that are underserved.  
New Strategy

E1.a Develop branch campus in West Hawai'i by 2015 to include 2 buildings (40,000 square feet) at Palamanui site

### Alignment of Cost Item 2 to Academic Master Plan (AMP)

**Explain how Cost Item 2 aligns with the Academic Master Plan (AMP) Action Priorities.**

AMP 14.1 Acquire proper equipment and workbenches so students may run labs without having to deal with test equipment malfunctions

### Alignment of Cost Item 2 to Strength(s)

**Explain how Cost Item 2 aligns with program Strength (From Part II. Section C). Address and provide supporting rationale. If there's no alignment, write "No Alignment."**

S1. Optimistic job market

### Alignment of Cost Item 2 to Weaknesses(s)

**Explain how Cost Item 2 aligns with Weakness (From Part II. Section C). Address and provide supporting rationale. If there's no alignment, write "No Alignment."**

W1. Insufficient Ventilation
W3. Classroom is not able to accommodate the maximum enrollment  The classroom does not have enough desk space to accommodate the maximum number of students. Currently, we are able to seat 8 students comfortably and 10 when we use a folding table. The maximum enrollment for the program is 10 students. The best way to mitigate this classroom space issue is to reduce the computer lab area. The lab area uses desktop computers which require more space than necessary.

\*\*\*\*\*

### C. Cost Item 3

Description	<b>Type</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Personnel</li> <li>● Facilities</li> <li>● Equipment</li> <li>● Health/Safety</li> <li>● Others (Define)</li> </ul>	Estimated Cost
Classroom supplies: Printer, projector, projector screen, white boards, smart boards	Equipment	\$5k

#### Alignment of Cost Item 3 to Strategic Plan (SP)

<b>Explain how Cost Item 3 aligns with the Strategic Plan (SP). Include SP Reference(s) and provide supporting rationale</b>
A1.1 Increase Native Hawaiian enrollment by 3% per year particularly in regions that are underserved. New Strategy
E1.a Develop branch campus in West Hawai'i by 2015 to include 2 buildings (40,000 square feet) at Palamanui site

#### Alignment of Cost Item 3 to Academic Master Plan (AMP)

<b>Explain how Cost Item 3 aligns with the Academic Master Plan (AMP) Action Priorities.</b>
AMP 14.1 Acquire proper equipment and workbenches so students may run labs without having to deal with test equipment malfunctions

#### Alignment of Cost Item 3 to Strength(s)

<b>Explain how Cost Item 3 aligns with program Strength (From Part II. Section C). Address and provide supporting rationale. If there's no alignment, write "No Alignment."</b>
S1. Optimistic job market

#### Alignment of Cost Item 3 to Weaknesses(s)

<b>Explain how Cost Item 3 aligns with Weakness (From Part II. Section C). Address and provide supporting rationale. If there's no alignment, write "No Alignment."</b>
---

W1. Insufficient Ventilation

W3. Classroom is not able to accommodate the maximum enrollment

The classroom needs an equipment update. The printer in the classroom works intermittently and the TV screens used for powerpoint lessons are slowing dying. The program should replace these items before they do not work at all. With the new students cycling in every fall, equipment has more and more wear and tear.

## Part VI: Justification for Program Existence

**Write a brief statement describing the value of this Program to the College. Is your Program sustainable? If so, briefly state why. If not, briefly state why the College should continue to keep your Program open.**

(Sources include Industry Validation, ARPD Data Validation, Trends and Other Factors.)

This island, this state, our nation, our world depend on the electronics field to accomplish a myriad of tasks and services. We take for granted how immersed this technology is in literally everything we touch. From an automobile to a pencil, everything depends on some sort of electronic support. This in itself is a compelling reason for the existence of such a program. Amplify that by the realization that we are the most remote civilized population in the world which depends wholly on importing everything, including services; this is validation that such a program should not only exist but be nurtured and further developed.

The question is not if ETRO is a valid program, the question is how to capture the greatest cross section of local employment opportunities, which will require the input from local employers, Advisory Council and new FTE instructor.